

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 09/834,085 | 04/11/2001 | Yasuhiro Nishiyama | 9281-3943 | 2759 |
| 7590 12/10/2003 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione | | | KLIMOWICZ, WILLIAM JOSEPH | |
| P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 2652 | 15 |
| | , | | DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/834,085 | NISHIYAMA ET AL. | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | William J. Klimowicz | 2652 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 November 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whith all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time | cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| $2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) 🔯 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | · · · · - | / | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: none. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,8-12,21 and 22. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6,7 and 13 | <u>3-20</u> . | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on 28 November 200. | <u>3</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)□ dis | approved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 10.□ Other: | | | | | | |
| | | Williath J. Klimowicz Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2652 | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/834,085

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims in addition to supplemental claims presented without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims, raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search by the Examiner.